UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)))
v.	MOTION FOR DOCKETING
IBRAHIM AHMED MAHMOUD AL QOSI) 27 July 2004

Part I - Request for Trial Date

- 1. The Prosecution will be prepared for trial and ready to begin its case on the merits on 10 January 2005.
- 2. The Prosecution estimates that it shall take approximately twelve (12) days to present its case on the merits, and one (1) day on sentencing if sentencing proceedings are required.
- 3. The Prosecution intends to furnish all information to the Defense, to include the names of witnesses that Commission Law requires, not later than 4 November 2004.
- 4. The Prosecution does intend to request that Protected Information be presented, and if the request is granted, that the presentation of such information shall take approximately two (2) days (such day already included in the calculation in paragraph 2 above.)
- 5. In determining a trial date, and on behalf of all counsel for the Prosecution in the above styled case, the Prosecution requests that the Presiding Officer consider the following events that would significantly interfere with counsels' being in trial:

Counsel	<u>Dates</u>	Significant Event
None		

6. Other information that may be of use to the Presiding Officer in the docketing of this case: None.

//Signed//

NAME REDACTED
Lieutenant Colonel, U. S. Marine Corps

Revised: The names of prosecutors were redacted at the request of the Office of Military Commissions.

Prosecutor

Part II - Defense Response to Docketing Request

1. The Defense (s	select one option b	elow):
	prepared to begin it be as indicated in Pa	s case on the merits after the conclusion of the art I above.
	quests that the trial he following reason	begin on a date earlier than that requested by the ns (be specific):
Rec	• •	e beginning of the trial until for the
approximately		resents a case on the merits, it shall take it. If sentencing proceedings are required, the esent it.
presented, [and if	the request is grar	ntend to request that Protected Information be nted, that the presentation of such information shall days already included in the calculation in paragrap
		affirmative defenses as that term is used in r a definition of that term.)
5. The defense waterm is used in Co		present, the following affirmative defense(s) as the
the above styled	case, the Defense r that would signific	date, and on behalf of all counsel for the Defense is requests that the Presiding Officer consider the cantly interfere with counsels' being in trial. (Include
Counsel	<u>Dates</u>	Significant Event
7. (Optional.) Ot docketing of this		at may be of use to the Presiding Officer in the
		SHARON A. SHAFFER Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Air Force Detailed Defense Counsel

Revised: The names of prosecutors were redacted at the request of the Office of Military Commissions.

Part III - Prosecution Reply to Docketing Request

1. The Prosecution (select one option b	pelow):
a. If the Defense requested a tria Prosecution.	al date earlier than that requested by the
The Prosecution is prepare Defense.	ed to proceed on the trial date requested by the
The Prosecution is not prepthe Defense for the following reasons (l	pared to proceed on the trial dated requested by be specific):
b. If the defense requested a tria Prosecution.	al date later than that requested by the
The Prosecution has no object	ection to the delay requested by the Defense.
The Prosecution opposes to specific):	the delay requested by the defense because (be
c. If the Prosecution and Defense paragraph.	e both agreed to the same trial date, omit this
2. (Optional.) Other information that m docketing of this case:	ay be of use to the Presiding Officer in the
	NAME REDACTED
	Lieutenant Colonel, U. S. Marine Corps Prosecutor

Revised: The names of prosecutors were redacted at the request of the Office of Military Commissions.



Revised: The names of prosecutors were redacted at the request of the Office of Military Commissions.